# PVPHS AVID Juniors SAT/ACT Prep 2017/2018



# STUDY HUT TUTORING

Packet #8: ACT Science Part B

STUDYHUT.COM



### **Graph Structure**



# Line Graphs





A variable whose value does NOT depend on the value of other variables in the graph. Usually plotted on the *x*-axis.

#### **DEPENDENT VARIABLE (N):**

A variable whose value DOES depend on the value of other variables in the graph. Usually plotted on the *y*-axis.

Ask yourself: does calcium content depend on the day of the cycle, or does the day of the cycle depend on the calcium content? You can often figure this out without understanding anything more about the study.

So, which is the dependent variable in this graph?

## **Scatter Plots**



#### **QUANTITATIVE (ADJ):**

A correlation that can be described <u>numerically</u>. A teaspoon and a swimming pool hold *quantitatively* different volumes of water.

#### **QUALITATIVE (ADJ):**

A correlation that is best described <u>non-numerically</u>. The pool with the waterslide is *qualitatively* different than the lap pool. Scatter Plots are used to show how data correlate. Each point is one measurement.

The pattern of points indicates <u>quantitative</u> and <u>qualitative</u> correlations





<u>CONCLUSION</u>: The correlation shown here appears <u>qualitatively exponential</u>.

# **Graph Variations**



### Variations occur in all types of graphs

- Many graphs present multiple datasets in one plot.
- Only rarely on the ACT will you find graphs with dual y-axes. Learn how to catch them, and how to read them!





### **Bar Charts**



GROUPED DATA ON BAR CHARTS: Remember to look at the trends among groups within an experiment.

In the example to the right, the Oppm group had 18 tumors of 0 - 1 mm3, while the 500ppm group had only 2 of this size.



### COMBINATION GRAPHS:

These can be very useful, but they're definitely confusing at first glance.

Two datasets are plotted on separate y-axes, one on the left and one on the right.

Be sure to note which axis goes with which dataset!



# Stacked Bar and Pie Charts



# Tables





- Note which values *do not change*. "Mass of water" and "Initial Temperature" don't vary (much). Feel free to cross out information that doesn't help you experiment.
- <u>Look for patterns</u> in the data. "Mass of sodium hydroxide" changes in an orderly way. It is probably the independent variable in this experiment. Both "Final temperature" and "q" seem directly related to it. Why?



Solubility of Ionic Compounds						
	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	$Ag^+$	$Pb^{2+}$		
F⁻						
Cl						
Br⁻						
I						
OH <sup>-</sup>						
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>						
CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup>						



# Table-Graph Conversions

### Simplify the table whenever you can.

Tables often contain excess information that isn't required for most problems. Look for the important information. Then consider making a quick graph to see relationships.

Table 1				Table 2					
		Brightnes	s (lumens)			Tim	e until brea	ikage (seco	nds)
Filament Diameter	0.3 mm	0.5 mm	0.7 mm	0.9 mm	Filament Diameter	0.3 mm	0.5 mm	0.7 mm	0.9 mm
Trial 1	85	65	32	10	Trial 1	2.5	8.2	15.1	45.5
Trial 2	81	65	34	8	Trial 2	2.8	8.6	17.9	94.1
Trial 3	86	64	38	10	Trial 3	0.2	7.9	14.0	78.0
Trial 4	83	62	31	10	Trial 4	3.0	7.8	18.2	107
Trial 5	85	65	33	9	Trial 5	2.6	8.0	15.2	58.8
Average	84	64	34	9	Average	2.2	8.1	16.1	76.6



The graph makes it clear that the data from Table I are directly li.e. linearly) related, while data from Table 2 are not.

Compare the tables: Looks like they have the same Filament Diameter and both also have an average row at the end.

### **DIRECTLY (LINEARLY) RELATED (ADJ):**

The value of y is a MULTIPLE OF x, basically. Put into algebra, it looks like y=kx, where k is any number. The slope can go up or down, but the plot is always a straight line.

#### **INVERSELY RELATED (ADJ):**

The value of *y* is some number DIVIDED BY x, basically. Algebraically, this means y=k/x, where k is any number. With inversely related data, y rises as x falls, and falls as it rises. The plot is never a straight line.

# Extrapolate/Interpolate

These words are just math jargon. As far as the ACT is concerned, you just need to look for a trend in the data and figure out where your value would be.



#### **EXTRAPOLATE (V):**

Infer an unknown value based on trends in data. When extrapolating, the unknown value lies <u>beyond</u> the data that you have.

#### **INTERPOLATE (V):**

Infer an unknown value based on trends in the data. This is exactly like extrapolating, except that the unknown value lies within the range of data that you have.

EXTRAPOLATION: Given this scatterplot, how could you estimate the concentration of X at 100 m under water?



Solubility (g per 100 ml water)						
	Sodium Chloride	Cerium (IV) Sulfate	Trisodium Phosphate	Sucrose		
Temp.	(g*)	$(g^*)$	$(g^*)$	$C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ (g*)		
0°C	36.0	21.4	1.50	182		
20°C	35.8	9.84	8.80	202		
60°C	35.9	3.87	20.9	289		
100°C	36.0	0.013	77.0	476		

Sure! First look over the table to eliminate excess info. You can ignore columns 2-4 and focus instead on column 5, Sucrose.

10

Meters under water

The concentration of X is between  $10^3$  and  $10^4$ . You

100

just extrapolated!

Then look for the trend in temperature and sucrose.

Then make your estimate. That's all interpolation is, an estimate.

These techniques work in tables as well. Can you estimate the solubility of sucrose at 82C? Plants use a variety of *pigments* to convert solar energy into chemical energy in the process of *photosynthesis*. These include chlorophyll a and three accessory pigments: chlorophyll b, carotene and xanthophyll. Each pigment absorbs specifc *wavelengths* of light, as shown in Figure 1. Wavelength is measured in nanometers (nm); visible light ranges from approximately 400 nm (violet) to 750 nm (red). Note that the observed green (550-625 nm) color of leaves is the result of low absorption of light at those wavelengths by photosynthetic pigments. Low absorption allows these wavelengths to be reflected, and thus be visible to our eyes.

Photosynthesis makes use of highly structured *Photosystems*. In Photosystem I, several hundred accessory pigment molecules surround a single chlorophyll a molecule in an *antenna complex*. The accessory pigments transfer the solar energy that they absorb to chlorophyll a, thereby capturing a broader range of solar energy than could chlorophyll a alone.



Figure 1

- 1. According to Figure 1, chlorophyll a absorbs light of which wavelength best?
  - A. 430 nm
  - **B.** 480 nm
  - C. 650 nm
  - **D.** 675 nm
- 2. According to Figure 1, which wavelength of light would be absorbed the LEAST in a leaf in which xanthophyll was the only pigment?
  - F. 425 nm
  - G. 465 nm
  - **H.** 500 nm
  - J. 675 nm
- 3. If a plant could be genetically engineered to produce fewer pigments, which of the following leaves would appear to be the most blue? (Assume that the wavelength of blue light is 450 nm.)
  - A. A leaf containing only chlorophyll a
  - B. A leaf containing only chlorophyll b
  - C. A leaf containing only xanthophyll
  - D. A leaf containing only carotene and chlorophyll a
- 4. Maple trees turn red-orange in the fall (i.e. absorption of 650 nm light decreases). Based on Figure 1, which hypothesis is most likely to be true?
  - **F.** Decreased sunlight causes the production of chlorophylls a and b to decrease.
  - **G.** Decreased temperatures cause the production of carotene to decrease.
  - **H.** Decreased sunlight causes the natural destruction of chlorophylls a and b to decrease.
  - J. Decreased temperatures and sunlight cause xanthophyll to shift its light absorption profile.
- 5. Some herbicides inhibit the production of photosynthetic pigments. Based on the description of Photosystem I, blocking the production of which pigment would be the most deleterious to a plant?
  - A. Carotene
  - B. Chlorophyll a
  - C. Chlorophyll b
  - D. Xanthophyll

#### **SCIENCE: Weather Forecasting**

Weather forecasting is conducted through measurement and analysis of atmospheric conditions such as temperature, air pressure, wind speed and direction, precipitation, and cloud patterns. Data is collected using instruments such as weather balloons, satellites, and radar, and then entered into supercomputers which analyze the information in comparison to historical weather events. These supercomputers create numerical weather prediction models, or computerized simulations of the atmosphere.

A large number of computer modeling programs currently exist, and in predicting short-range weather patterns, they tend to produce very accurate, and therefore very similar, forecasts. However, when these programs attempt to make long-range forecasts, their predictions vary widely and are typically quite inaccurate.

Three scientists debate the cause of inaccuracies in longterm computer weather modeling.

#### Scientist 1

Computer models produce inaccurate long-range forecasts due to imprecise instruments. Although thermometers, barometers, and anemometers measure atmospheric conditions with a high degree of precision, there is always a degree of inaccuracy to the reported measurement. Longrange forecasting requires analysis of a huge amount of data gathered from over a large geographic area. The large volume of data, along with the attempt to project the analysis of data far into the future, compounds the significance of the inaccurate measurements, leading to inaccurate forecasts.

#### Scientist 2

The problem stems not from inaccurate data, but rather from incomplete data. It is impossible to measure atmospheric conditions at every individual point in the atmosphere, so weather models are constructed using data available from existing instruments, which are not necessarily representative of the atmosphere as a whole. This imperfection in the data leads to unreliability in long-range forecasting.

#### Scientist 3

The cause of inaccurate long-range weather forecasts is inadequate computing power. The magnitude of the data necessary to create accurate long-range weather predictions in unmanageable even to the world's fastest supercomputers. It would take today's computers approximately three days to analyze the amount of data necessary to create a reasonably accurate long-range forecast, and three days is an unacceptable timeframe. As technology improves and computers are able to analyze vast amounts of data more quickly, long-range weather modeling will improve in accuracy.

- 1. Which of the scientists would most likely agree that imperfect data is a primary cause of inaccuracies in long-range weather forecasting?
  - A. Scientist 1 only
  - B. Scientist 2 only
  - C. Scientists 1 and 2 only
  - D. Scientists 1, 2, and 3
- 2. According to Scientist 3, which of the following factors most directly contributes to the inaccuracy of long-range weather forecasts?
  - F. Changes in atmospheric conditions
  - G. Poorly calibrated instruments
  - H. Incomplete atmospheric data
  - J. Inadequate computing power
- 3. Which of the following generalizations about weather forecasts produced by computer models is most consistent with the information provided?
  - A. Short-range predictions are typically very accurate.
  - B. Short-range predictions are typically very inaccurate.
  - C. Long-range predictions are typically very accurate.
  - D. Long-range predictions are impossible to produce.
- 4. Which scientist would likely argue that an increased number of weather stations measuring atmospheric conditions would be likely to improve the accuracy of long-range weather forecasting?
  - F. Scientist 1 only
  - G. Scientist 2 only
  - H. Scientists 1 and 3 only
  - J. Scientists 2 and 3 only
- 5. Imagine that a computer model consistently produced accurate long-range weather forecasts but took so long to deliver the information that the forecasts were obsolete by the time they were made. This scenario would most strengthen the argument made by which scientist?
  - A. Scientist 1 only
  - B. Scientist 2 only
  - C. Scientist 3 only
  - D. Scientists 2 and 3 only

- 6. Computer models predicted that a blizzard would potentially strike a major city on a date seven days in the future. Instead, the city experienced only snow flurries. Which explanation is most consistent with Scientist 2's perspective?
  - **F.** The available information was sufficiently accurate and complete.
  - **G.** The available information was sufficiently accurate but incomplete.
  - **H.** The available information was inaccurate but complete.
  - **J.** The available information was inaccurate and incomplete.
- 7. Assume that technological advances lead to faster supercomputers and more precise meteorological instruments. Which scientists would most likely expect these advances to result in improved accuracy in long-range weather forecasting?
  - A. Scientist 2 only
  - B. Scientist 3 only
  - C. Scientists 1 and 2 only
  - D. Scientists 1 and 3 only